UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		the state of the s		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,778	03/03/2004	Pierre Cornilleau	0513-1099	3225
466 7590 05/02/2007 YOUNG & THOMPSON		EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			ING, MATTHEW W	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
*	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10790778	3/3/2004	CORNILLEAU, PIERRE 051		0513-1099
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			Matthew W. Ing	
ARLINGTON, VA 222	202		ART UNIT	PAPER
			3637	20070426

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

See attached interview summary.

Jose V. Chen
Primary Examiner

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/790,778	CORNILLEAU, PIERRE
Examiner-induted interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew W. Ing	3637
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allo</u>	owed
(1) <u>Matthew W. Ing</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert J. Patch (Registration No. 17,355).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2007	Time: <u>1126 hrs</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Examiner informed applicant that a proposed Substitute Amenda been improperly filed. Applicant agreed to refile the aforemention	ment, received by the examiner on	an unofficial fax number had
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance
Jose V. Chen Frimary Examiner		
Mythw Ty		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)